EXHIBIT 2

```
1
             UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
        FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
 2
    GOVERNMENT OF THE UNITED
    STATES VIRGIN ISLANDS
 3
          Plaintiff,
 4
 5
                                   1:22-cv-10904-JSR
    VS.
 6
    JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, N.A.,
 7
          Defendant/Third-
          Party Plaintiff.
 8
    JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, N.A.
 9
          Third-Party
10
          Plaintiff,
11
  vs.
12
    JAMES EDWARD STALEY,
13
          Third-Party
          Defendant.
14
                 THURSDAY, JULY 6, 2023
15
     CONFIDENTIAL - PURSUANT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
16
17
              Videotaped deposition of Special
     Agent Joseph Fonseca, FBI (Retired), held at
18
     the offices of WilmerHale, 250 Greenwich
     Street, New York, New York, commencing at
19
     9:07 a.m. Eastern, on the above date, before
     Carrie A. Campbell, Registered Diplomate
20
     Reporter and Certified Realtime Reporter.
21
22
23
24
              GOLKOW LITIGATION SERVICES
           877.370.3377 ph | 917.591.5672 fax
25
                     deps@golkow.com
```

```
1
            relates to fraud and anything else
 2
            that's from the Act, I honestly -- I
 3
            can't tell you.
 4
     QUESTIONS BY MR. PENDELL:
 5
                   Well, and my question was a
            Q.
 6
     little bit broader than that, and I apologize
 7
     if I asked a bad question. That may happen
 8
     from time to time. I'm notorious for asking
 9
     bad questions.
10
                   But I'm interested generally
11
     in -- do you think that people and businesses
12
     have an obligation to comply with the law,
13
     whatever that law is?
14
                   I would agree, yes.
            Α.
15
            Q.
                   Do you have any opinion as to
16
     whether JPMorgan
17
18
19
            Α.
                   I do not.
20
            Ο.
                   No opinion one way or the
21
     other?
22
                   I don't.
            Α.
23
            Ο.
                   Okay. Mr. Fonseca, what
24
     subject areas do you consider yourself to be
25
     an expert in?
```

```
1
            Α.
                   Anything relevant to crimes
 2
     against children.
 3
            Q.
                   Okay. You are not a certified
 4
     public accountant; is that correct?
 5
                   That is correct.
           Α.
 6
            0.
                   Okay.
                         During your tenure as an
 7
     FBI special agent, I understand that based on
     your CV, and we're going to talk about it a
 8
 9
     little bit more later, you had some
10
     supervisory authority for other special
11
     agents; is that true?
12
                   That is correct.
           Α.
13
                   Okay. And during your time
            Ο.
14
     with that supervisory authority over other
15
     FBI special agents, did you expect that those
16
     agents that you supervised were going to
17
     gather as much evidence as possible for an
18
     investigation that you were pursuing?
19
                   MR. BOUCHOUX: Objection to the
20
            form.
21
                   THE WITNESS:
                                 I expected them
22
           to do their job. Collecting evidence
23
            was part of them doing their job, yes.
     QUESTIONS BY MR. PENDELL:
24
25
                   Do you ever recall a time when
            Q.
```

- 1 under the age of 18, and the responsibility
- for investigating that would fall outside of
- 3 crimes against children?
- 4 A. That is correct. That would --
- 5 if you're referring back to the human
- 6 trafficking scenario I just provided, if they
- 7 were here and not documented and they were
- 8 underage, the human trafficking squad -- the
- 9 public corruption squad and their agent that
- worked human trafficking there for
- 11 undocumented -- and I'll say women because
- 12 that is pretty much what we saw, was women --
- they would work that case, correct.
- Q. And what about -- so if you had
- sex trafficking of people under the age of 18
- that were US citizens, would that fall under
- 17 crimes against children?
- 18 A. Correct. Adult or child, yes.
- 19 Q. Okay. When you say adult or
- children, if somebody -- if there was a sex
- trafficking operation and everyone involved
- was over the age of 18, would crimes against
- children, would that -- what do you call it,
- 24 a bureau or squad?
- A. The squad.

```
1
            Q.
                   Is it fair to say that your
 2
     responsibility was in carrying out the
     policies?
 3
 4
                   MR. BOUCHOUX: Objection to
 5
            form.
 6
                   THE WITNESS:
                                  I would say my
 7
            obligations were to follow protocols
            and to follow my experience in cases.
 8
 9
     QUESTIONS BY MR. PENDELL:
10
            Ο.
                   While employed by the FBI, were
11
     you responsible for providing official
12
     opinions interpreting FBI investigative
13
     policy?
14
                   No, I was not.
            Α.
15
            Q.
                   While employed by the FBI, were
16
     you responsible for setting any other FBI
17
     policies?
18
            Α.
                   No.
19
                   We talked a little bit about
            Ο.
20
     your experience with the FBI in the crimes
21
     against children squad.
22
                   I want to focus a little bit
23
     more on your work within that department
24
     specifically investigating sex trafficking.
25
                   How many investigations were
```

```
1
     you involved in at the FBI that specifically
 2
     involved sex trafficking?
 3
                   That's a difficult question to
           Α.
 4
     answer, specifically because I was involved
 5
     as an investigator and as a coordinator for a
 6
     task force that saw a dozen cases a week.
 7
     it was -- it could be more than that.
     it's difficult to answer that question.
 8
 9
     lot.
10
           Ο.
                   Well, let me ask you this.
11
     had talked earlier about an example of a case
12
     you worked on with -- I don't want to call
13
     him a gentleman, because I would arque that
14
     he's not, but a man who lured an underage
15
     person over the Internet across state lines
16
     for the purposes of sex.
17
                   Although reprehensible and a
18
     criminal act, would you agree that that
19
     particular instance is not sex trafficking?
20
                   MR. BOUCHOUX: Objection to the
21
            form.
22
                   THE WITNESS:
                                 I can't tell you
23
           what he was -- I can't tell you what
24
           he was charged with, if we're talking
25
            about that case with Smith and --
```

```
1
     QUESTIONS BY MR. PENDELL:
 2
                   Do you think that the case
            Ο.
 3
     against Mr. Epstein was a routine sex
     trafficking case in your opinion?
 5
                   MR. BOUCHOUX: Objection to the
            form.
 6
 7
                   THE WITNESS: Can you define
            "routine"?
 8
 9
     QUESTIONS BY MR. PENDELL:
10
            Q.
                   Well, I'm sort of
11
     interested in -- let me -- well, let me ask
12
     it this way.
13
                   You've come here and you've
14
     testified based on your experience --
15
            Α.
                   Uh-huh.
16
                   -- that you've worked on
            Q.
17
     several, numerous, many, sex trafficking
18
     cases, and you've never worked on one that
19
     involved an individual with Mr. Epstein's
20
     wealth.
21
                   So would you agree with me that
22
     the sex trafficking case against Mr. Epstein
23
     was not routine?
24
            Α.
                   No.
25
            Q.
                   You would not agree with me?
```

1 Α. I would not agree. 2 0. Why not? The supposition that wealth 3 Α. 4 matters when you are working a case that has 5 to do with paying juveniles small amounts of money, which we saw in every case, to 6 7 sexually abuse them is not unusual. Your 8 wealth has nothing to do with those points of 9 the sexual abuse. 10 Ο. Well, fair enough. 11 But we're not just talking 12 about wealth here, right? 13 Let me ask you this question. 14 How many sex trafficking cases have you been 15 involved in where there were over a hundred 16 victims? 17 MR. BOUCHOUX: Objection to the 18 form. 19 THE WITNESS: I don't think 20 any. 21 QUESTIONS BY MR. PENDELL: 22 Q. So would you agree with me that 23 a sex trafficking case with over a hundred victims is not a routine case? 24

From the amount of victim point

Α.

25

```
1
     of view, I would say based on my experience,
 2
     that is unusual to see that amount of
               The way of investigating the case
 3
     victims.
     would not be unusual.
 5
                   A hundred victims is a lot,
            Q.
     isn't it?
 6
 7
                   A hundred victims is a lot
 8
     compared to just one victim, yes.
 9
                   And one victim is bad enough.
            Q.
10
     A hundred is --
11
                   Absolutely.
           Α.
12
                   -- unfathomable, right?
           Ο.
13
           Α.
                   Absolutely.
14
                   You said something about
            Q.
15
     wealth had nothing to do with making it
16
     routine or not routine. I'm paraphrasing
17
     here because you said something about small
18
     amounts of money that were paid.
19
                   Have you looked at how much
20
     money was involved specifically in this case?
21
                   MR. BOUCHOUX: Objection to the
22
            form.
23
                   THE WITNESS: From the payments
24
           that were made to the victims, I have
            reviewed statements from the victims
25
```

```
1
            to the term "banking information."
 2
           Vague.
                   Ambiguous.
                   THE WITNESS: I know what --
 3
 4
           part of our team would be our
 5
            intelligence analysts, but I never
 6
           used banking information, so I
            don't -- I don't know who I'd assign
 7
 8
            that responsibility to except an
 9
            intelligence analyst, I guess.
10
     QUESTIONS BY MR. PENDELL:
11
                   And let me ask you another
12
     question in light of counsel's objection,
13
     which was fair.
14
                   During your tenure with the FBI
15
     investigating sex trafficking of children,
16
     were you ever responsible for reviewing the
17
     financial information of a suspect?
18
            Α.
                   And to be clear, too, sex
19
     trafficking is not just with children.
                                               We
20
     investigated adults as well.
                   But the financial interest?
21
22
     Your question about that was?
23
            Ο.
                   Yes. Did you ever review the
24
     financial information of a suspect?
25
                   MR. BOUCHOUX: Objection to the
```